



भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 43]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, अक्टूबर 24, 1981/कार्तिक 2, 1903

No. 43]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, OCTOBER 24, 1981/KARTIKA 2, 1903

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके
Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (II) PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (II)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों द्वारा जारी किए गए सांविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं
Statutory Orders and Notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India
(other than the Ministry of Defence)

गृह मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली 1 अक्टूबर, 1981

का० आ० 2916—केन्द्रीय सरकार सरकार स्थान (अप्राधिकृत अधिभोगियों की बहाली) अधिनियम, 1971 (1971 का 40) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, श्री गिरधारी लाल कुरील, सहायक निदेशक, समनुषंगी आसूचना ब्यूरो, मुम्बई को, जो सरकार के राजपत्रित अधिकारी हैं, उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजन के लिए संपदा अधिकारी नियुक्त करती है और यह निवेदन देती है कि उक्त अधिकारी, उप-निवेशक, समनुषंगी आसूचना ब्यूरो, मुम्बई के नियन्त्रणाधीन सभी सरकारी वास्तु-सुविधा के संबंध में उक्त अधिनियम द्वारा या उसके अधीन संपदा अधिकारी को प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग और अधिरोपित कर्तव्यों का पालन करेंगे।

[सं० 1/सी II/78 (जे)/जनरल/एफ० पी० V]

एम० नटराजन, संयुक्त सचिव

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 1st October, 1981

S.O. 2916.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971 (40 of 1971), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Girdhari Lal Kureel, Assistant Director, Subsidiary Intelligence Bureau, Bombay, a Gazetted Officer of the Government, to be the Estate Officer for the purposes of the said Act and directs that the said Officer shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed on the Estate Officer by or under the said Act, in respect of all

Government accommodation under the control of the Deputy Director, Subsidiary Intelligence Bureau, Bombay.

[No. 1/CII/78(J)-Gen./FP. V]

M. NATARAJAN, Jt. Secy.

वित्त मंत्रालय

(आर्थिक कार्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 30 सितम्बर, 1981

(बैंकिंग प्रभाग)

का० आ० 2917.—राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक (प्रबंध और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध) अधिनियम, 1970 के खंड 8 के उपखंड (1) के साथ पठित खण्ड 3 के उपखण्ड (क) के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक से परामर्श करने के पश्चात्, श्री एस० एल० बालूजा को 21 सितम्बर, 1981 से प्रारम्भ होने वाली और 20 अक्टूबर, 1981 को समाप्त होने वाली अवधि के लिए, पंजाब नेशनल बैंक के प्रबंध निदेशक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या एफ० 9/23/81-बी० ओ०-1(1)]

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 30th September, 1981

(Banking Division)

S.O. 2917.—In pursuance of sub-clause (a) of clause 3, read with sub-clause (1) of clause 8, of the Nationalised,

Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970, the Central Government, after consultation with the Reserve Bank of India, hereby appoints Shri S. L. Baluja as the Managing Director of the Punjab National Bank for a period commencing on 21st September, 1981 and ending with 20th October, 1981.

[No. F. 9/23/81-BO-I(1)]

का० आ० 2918—राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक (प्रबन्ध और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध) स्कीम, 1970 के खण्ड 7 के साथ पठित खण्ड 5 के उपखण्ड (1) के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिज़र्व बैंक से परामर्श करने के पश्चात् श्री एस० एल० बालूजा को, जिन्हें 21 मिनम्बर, 1981 से पंजाब नेशनल बैंक के प्रबन्ध निदेशक के रूप में नियुक्त किया गया है, उसी तारीख से पंजाब नेशनल बैंक के निदेशक बोर्ड के अध्यक्ष के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या एफ० 9/3/23/81-बी०एम०-1(2)]

S.O. 2918.—In pursuance of sub-clause (1) of clause 5, read with clause 7 of the Nationalised Banks (Management and Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970, the Central Government, after consultation with the Reserve Bank of India, hereby appoints Shri S. L. Baluja, who has been appointed as Managing Director of Punjab National Bank with effect from 21st September, 1981 to be the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Punjab National Bank with effect from the same date.

[No. F. 9/23/81-BO.I(2)]

का० आ० 2919—राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक (प्रबन्ध और प्रकीर्ण उपबन्ध) योजना, 1980 के खण्ड 3 के उपखण्ड (ख) के अनुसरण में, भारत सरकार, श्री बी० मुकुन्दन्यन के स्थान पर डा० के० के० मुकुर्जी, प्रिंसिपल, स्टाफ कालेज, भारतीय रिज़र्व बैंक, मद्रास को मदरास विजया बैंक के निदेशक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या एफ० 9/9/81-बी० ओ०-1]

ब० व० मीरचन्दानी, उप सचिव

S.O. 2919.—In pursuance of sub-clause (a) of clause 3 of the Nationalised Banks (Management & Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1980 the Central Government hereby appoints Dr. K. K. Mukherjee, Principal, Staff College, Reserve Bank of India, Madras as a Director of the Vijaya Bank vice Shri V. Subramanian.

[No. F. 9/9/81-BO.I]

C. W. MURCHANDANI, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 30 मिनम्बर, 1981

का० आ० 2920.—औद्योगिक बिल निगम अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 15) की धारा 5 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय औद्योगिक बिल निगम द्वारा जारी की गई 2,50,00,000 रुपये की अतिरिक्त शेयरपूंजी पर उक्त सरकार द्वारा गारंटीकृत लाभों की न्यूनतम वार्षिक दर एतद्वारा 6 प्रतिशत (छह प्रतिशत) निश्चित करती है।

[संख्या एफ० 2(43)-आई० एफ०-1/81]

के० पी० पण्डित, प्रवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 30th September, 1981

S.O. 2920.—In pursuance of Section 5 of the Industrial Finance Corporation Act, 1948 (15 of 1948), the Central Government hereby fixes the minimum rate of annual dividend guaranteed by that Government on the additional share capital of Rs. 2,50,00,000 to be issued by the Industrial Finance Corporation of India, at 6 per cent (six per cent).

[No. F. 2(43)-IF-1/81]

K. P. PANDIAN, Under Secy.

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद-शुल्क और सीमा शुल्क बोर्ड

नई दिल्ली, 24 अक्टूबर, 1981

का. अ. 2921.—केन्द्रीय उत्पाद-शुल्क और सीमा शुल्क बोर्ड, सीमा शुल्क अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 52) की धारा 9 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उड़ीसा राज्य में कोरापुट जिले के तैखल्ली को भण्डागार स्टेशन के रूप में घोषित करता है।

[सं. 228/81-सीमा-शुल्क/फा.सं. 473/134-81-सी.शु.-7]

एन. के. कपूर, अवर सचिव

CENTRAL BOARD OF EXCISE AND CUSTOMS

New Delhi, the 24th October, 1981

S.O. 2921.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 9 of the Customs Act, 1962 (52 of 1962), the Central Board of Excise and Customs hereby declares Theruvally in Koraput District, in the State of Orissa, to be a warehousing station.

No. 228/81-Customs

[F. No. 473/134/81-CUS.VII]

N. K. KAPUR, Under Secy.

वार्षिक संग्रह

नई दिल्ली, 24 अक्टूबर, 1981

का. अ. 2922.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, निर्यात (क्वालिटी नियंत्रण और निरीक्षण) अधिनियम, 1963 (1963 का 22) की धारा 17 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, निम्न-लिखित नियम बनाती है, अर्थात् :—

1. (1) इन नियमों का नाम निर्यात निरीक्षण परिषद् पेंशन तथा सामान्य भविष्य निधि नियम, 1981 है।

(2) ये राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2. (1) समय-समय पर यथा संशोधित केन्द्रीय निविल सेवा (पेंशन) नियम, 1972 (2) समय-समय पर यथा संशोधित माधवारण भविष्य निधि (केन्द्रीय सेवा) नियम, 1960 का लाभ निर्यात निरीक्षण परिषद् और पूर्वोक्त अधिनियम के अधीन गठित निम्नलिखित संगठनों के सभी पूर्ण-कालिक और नियमित कर्मचारियों को दिया जाए, अर्थात् :—

(क) निर्यात निरीक्षण अभिकरण	—	मुम्बई
(ख) निर्यात निरीक्षण अभिकरण	—	दिल्ली
(ग) निर्यात निरीक्षण अभिकरण	—	कलकत्ता
(घ) निर्यात निरीक्षण अभिकरण	—	मद्रास
(ङ) निर्यात निरीक्षण अभिकरण	—	कोचीन

परन्तु ऐसे कर्मचारियों को, जिन्होंने इस अधिसूचना के जारी होने की तारीख से पूर्व सेवा में प्रवेश किया है और यथा-स्थिति निर्यात निरीक्षण परिषद् या निर्यात निरीक्षण अभिकरण के अंशदायी भविष्य निधि नियम द्वारा नियंत्रित होते थे, उपरोक्त नियमों और अधिसूचना सं. का.आ. 1607 और 1608 तारीख 30 मई, 1981 के अधीन अधिसूचित मृत्यु तथा सेवा-निवृत्ति स्कीम द्वारा शासित होते रहने का विकल्प दिया जाएगा। उपरोक्त विनिर्दिष्ट विकल्प 31-3-1982 के पश्चात् लिखित रूप में किया जाएगा और एक बार किया गया विकल्प अन्तिम माना जाएगा। अन्य सभी कर्मचारी केवल समय-समय पर यथा संशोधित केन्द्रीय सेवा (पेंशन) नियम और माध्याम भविष्य निधि (केन्द्रीय सेवा) नियम द्वारा ही शासित होंगे।

[फा. सं. 3(12)/76-नि. नि. तथा नि.उ.]

सी.बी. कुकरेती, संयुक्त निदेशक

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

New Delhi, the 24th October, 1981

S.O. 2922.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Export (Quality Control and Inspection) Act, 1963 (22 of 1963), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules, namely :

1. (1) These rules may be called the Export Inspection Council, Pension and General Provident Fund Rules, 1981.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

2. The benefit of (i) the Central Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1972 as amended from time to time; and (ii) the General Provident Fund (Central Services) Rules, 1960 as amended from time to time be extended to all the whole-time and regular employees of the Export Inspection Council and the following organisations constituted under the aforesaid Act, namely :—

- The Export Inspection Agency, Bombay;
- The Export Inspection Agency, Delhi;
- The Export Inspection Agency, Calcutta;
- The Export Inspection Agency, Madras; and
- The Export Inspection Agency, Cochin.

Provided that those employees who entered into the service prior to the date of issue of this notification and were governed by the Contributory Provident Fund Rules of the Export Inspection Council or Export Inspection Agency, as the case may be, shall be given an option to continue to be governed by the said rules and the Death-cum-Retirement Gratuity Scheme notified under Notifications S.O. Nos. 1607 and 1608 dated the 30th May, 1981. The option referred to above shall be exercised in writing not later than 31-3-1982 and the options once exercised shall be treated as final.

All other employees shall be governed only by the Central Civil Services (Pension) Rules and General Provident Fund (Central Services) Rules as amended from time to time.

[F. No. 3(12)/76-EL&EP]

C. B. KUKRETI, Joint Director

पेट्रोलियम, रसायन और उर्वरक मन्त्रालय

(पेट्रोलियम विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 30 सितम्बर, 1981

का० आ० 2923.—यन. केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि लोकहित में यह आवश्यक है कि उत्तर प्रदेश में मथुरा से जलन्धर (पंजाब) तक पेट्रोलियम पदार्थों के परिवहन के लिए पाईप लाइन इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन द्वारा विछाई जानी चाहिये।

आर यन यह प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी लाईनों का बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए पतद्भाव अनुमूर्च्छा में अर्जित भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करना आवश्यक है।

अन. अब पेट्रोलियम आर खनिज पाइप लाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का अपना आशय पतद्भाव घोषित किया है।

वर्तने कि उक्त भूमि में हितवद् कोई व्यक्ति, उस भूमि के नीचे पाइप लाइन बिछाने के लिए आक्षेप सक्षम प्राधिकारी, इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड, मथुरा जलन्धर पाइप लाइन प्रोजेक्ट, 705, मोता मिह नगर जलन्धर, पंजाब को इस अधिसूचना की तारीख से 21 दिनों के भीतर कर सकेगा।

और ऐसा आक्षेप करने वाला हर व्यक्ति विनिर्दिष्ट: यह भी कथन करेगा कि क्या वह चाहता है कि उसकी सुनवाई व्यक्तिशः हो या किसी विधि व्यवसायी की मार्फत।

अनुसूची

तहसील : लुधियाना	जिला : लुधियाना	राज्य : पंजाब		
नाम ग्राम	खसरा नं०	क्षेत्रफल		
		है०	ऐ०	व०मी०
1	2	3	4	5
हरिया	2/1 मिन	00	09	61
ह० न० 206	2 मिन	00	06	32
	9/2 मिन	00	08	35
	8/1 मिन	00	03	04
	8/2 मिन	00	04	81
	13/1 मिन	00	02	02
	13/2 मिन	00	05	31
	14 मिन	00	07	34
	57 मिन	00	00	76
	58 मिन	00	00	76
भमा कला	27/6 मिन	00	11	89
ह० न० 205	15 मिन	00	00	00
	28/10 मिन	00	02	28
	11 मिन	00	12	40
	12 मिन	00	04	05
	18 मिन	00	05	06
	19 मिन	00	10	12
	23 मिन	00	07	84
	24 मिन	00	08	35
	3 1/9 मिन	00	00	00
	10 मिन	00	10	37
	11 मिन	00	02	28
	12 मिन	00	13	41

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
भमां कर्मा—जारी	34/13 मिन	00	00	51	भमा खुद—जारी	20/2 मिन	00	01	30
ह० न० 205	17 मिन	00	01	52	ह० न० 204	3/1 मिन	00	05	82
	18 मिन	00	13	66		3/2 मिन	00	05	31
	19 मिन	00	01	01		7/1 मिन	00	06	32
	23 मिन	00	00	25		7/2 मिन	00	05	57
	24 मिन	00	12	90		8/1 मिन	00	03	54
	25 मिन	00	02	53		14 मिन	00	03	04
	35/4 मिन	00	06	07		15 मिन	00	11	89
	5 मिन	00	10	37		16 मिन	00	01	01
	6 मिन	00	04	05		21/11 मिन	00	00	00
	39/5 मिन	00	11	89		19 मिन	00	00	51
	40/1 मिन	00	04	05		20/1 मिन	00	03	79
	9 मिन	00	06	07		20/2 मिन	00	04	61
	10 मिन	00	10	12		21 मिन	00	00	25
	12 मिन	00	08	35		22 मिन	00	12	90
	13 मिन	00	09	87		23 मिन	00	01	52
	17 मिन	00	08	35		23/11 मिन	00	07	34
	18 मिन	00	00	25		19 मिन	00	09	87
	24 मिन	00	04	81		20 मिन	00	06	58
	25 मिन	00	11	89		22 मिन	00	04	30
	45/21 मिन	00	04	30		23 मिन	00	12	40
	46/1 मिन	00	12	90		24 मिन	00	00	00
	2 मिन	00	00	25		24/2 मिन	00	00	25
	8 मिन	00	00	76		3 मिन	00	12	90
	9/1 मिन	00	06	58		4 मिन	00	03	54
	9/2 मिन	00	06	58		6 मिन	00	05	31
	10 मिन	00	01	52		7 मिन	00	11	38
	12 मिन	00	00	76		15 मिन	00	08	60
	13 मिन	00	13	66		28/3 मिन	00	02	38
	16/1 मिन	00	01	01		4 मिन	00	14	67
	16/2 मिन	00	04	05		7 मिन	00	02	53
	17 मिन	00	11	89		75 मिन	00	01	77
	18 मिन	00	00	25		98 मिन	00	01	77
	46/25/1 मिन	00	00	25		131 मिन	00	01	77
	25/2 मिन	00	06	83					
	25/3 मिन	00	03	79	गहलेबाल	3/21 मिन	00	01	77
	47/5 मिन	00	02	28	ह० न० 14	4/13 मिन	00	10	37
	58/1 मिन	00	00	00		14 मिन	00	00	25
	117 मिन	00	04	81		16 मिन	00	00	76
	123 मिन	00	01	52		17 मिन	00	13	66
	128 मिन	00	02	28		4/18 मिन	00	01	52
	252 मिन	00	01	01		24 मिन	00	00	76
	256 मिन	00	00	76		25 मिन	00	14	67
						7/5 मिन	00	00	25
भमां खुद	17/20 मिन	00	09	36		8/1 मिन	00	09	11
ह० न० 204	21 मिन	00	05	57		2 मिन	00	06	07
	22 मिन	00	10	37		3 मिन	00	08	07
	18/3 मिन	00	03	54		9/1 मिन	00	07	08
	7/1 मिन	00	01	01		9/2 मिन	00	04	55
	7/2 मिन	00	06	58		13/1 मिन	00	01	01
	8 मिन	00	08	10		13/2 मिन	00	06	58
	14/1 मिन	00	00	51		14 मिन	00	09	87
	14/2 मिन	00	06	58		16/1 मिन	00	09	36
	15 मिन	00	08	35		16/2 मिन	00	04	81
	16/1 मिन	00	06	07		17/1 मिन	00	03	29
	16/2 मिन	00	00	51					

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
गैहलोवाल—जारी	25/1 मिन	00	00	51	बली बांध	22 मिन	00	01	30
हृ० न० 14	27 मिन	00	00	76	हृ० न० 17	37/1 मिन	00	01	52
	9/20 मिन	00	01	52		2 मिन	00	13	66
	21/1 मिन	00	07	59		3 मिन	00	01	26
	21/2 मिन	00	01	05		7 मिन	00	03	04
	22/1 मिन	00	04	81		8 मिन	00	12	90
	10/2 मिन	00	06	83		9 मिन	00	00	25
	3 मिन	00	01	26		11 मिन	00	11	38
	27 मिन	00	01	01		15 मिन	00	05	31
	28 मिन	00	02	02		16 मिन	00	09	11
	29 मिन	00	02	78		11/2 मिन	00	00	00
	32 मिन	00	02	28		6 मिन	00	00	51
						7 मिन	00	07	59
वृथगढ	19/8 मिन	00	04	05		14 मिन	00	01	01
हृ० न० 13	20/10 मिन	00	05	31					
	11 मिन	00	09	11		41/15 मिन	00	13	66
	12/1 मिन	00	06	58		16 मिन	00	00	25
	12/2 मिन	00	00	25		26 मिन	00	05	06
	18 मिन	00	09	36		12/11 मिन	00	03	77
	19 मिन	00	05	57		19 मिन	00	01	52
	23 मिन	00	05	06		20 मिन	00	12	90
	24/1 मिन	00	05	06		22 मिन	00	10	98
	24/2 मिन	00	05	82		23/1 मिन	00	04	05
	26/1 मिन	00	00	25		48/11 मिन	00	10	88
	4/2 मिन	00	00	76		18/2 मिन	00	00	25
	10/1 मिन	00	03	04		19/1 मिन	00	01	01
	10/2 मिन	00	11	13		19/2 मिन	00	13	64
	11/1 मिन	00	00	25		20 मिन	00	03	04
	12/1 मिन	00	00	76		22/1 मिन	00	01	52
	12/2 मिन	00	12	65		23/1 मिन	00	03	29
	13 मिन	00	03	04		23/2 मिन	00	10	88
	17/1 मिन	00	01	26		24 मिन	00	01	52
	17/2 मिन	00	03	54		49/3/1 मिन	00	01	52
	18 मिन	00	11	38		3/2 मिन	00	08	10
	24 मिन	00	09	36		1 मिन	00	06	83
	25 मिन	00	07	08		6 मिन	00	07	81
	27/4 मिन	00	03	29		7 मिन	00	07	34
	5/1 मिन	00	06	58		15 मिन	00	05	57
	5/2 मिन	00	06	32		26 मिन	00	01	52
	6 मिन	00	01	52		37/3 मिन	00	00	25
	31/5 मिन	00	07	08		4 मिन	00	12	65
	32/1/1 मिन	00	02	28		5 मिन	00	03	29
	1/2 मिन	00	07	59		6 मिन	00	07	34
	9 मिन	00	09	61		91 मिन	00	01	52
	10 मिन	00	04	81		94 मिन	00	02	28
	37 मिन	00	07	84		95 मिन	00	02	28
	149 मिन	00	01	26		101 मिन	00	01	05
	150 मिन	00	00	76		107 मिन	00	12	40
	158 मिन	00	00	76		108 मिन	00	03	51
						247 मिन	00	01	01
बली बांध	26/16 मिन	00	01	05					
हृ० न० 17	25 मिन	00	03	29	मिथाना	11/23 मिन	00	05	31
	27/21 मिन	00	13	41	हृ० न० 16	24/2 मिन	00	00	25
	23 मिन	00	00	25		21/3 मिन	00	03	29
	36/20 मिन	00	07	34		25/10/2 मिन	00	04	55
	21 मिन	00	06	83		10/3 मिन	00	02	78

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
मियाली—जारी	10/1 मिन	00	00	76	मोता—जारी	22 मिन	00	11	13
ह० न० 18	11 मिन	00	06	83	ह० न० 26	29/7/1 मिन	00	01	77
	12/1 मिन	00	08	85		7/2 मिन	00	03	29
	12/2 मिन	00	00	25		8 मिन	00	07	34
	18 मिन	00	00	51		14/1 मिन	00	00	25
	19/1 मिन	00	02	78		14/2 मिन	00	09	11
	19/2 मिन	00	01	01		15 मिन	00	06	58
	20/4/1 मिन	00	06	58		16 मिन	00	06	58
	4/3 मिन	00	01	30		32/2 मिन	00	03	04
	5 मिन	00	05	57		3 मिन	00	13	41
	6 मिन	00	08	35		4 मिन	00	02	53
	32/12 मिन	00	05	06		6 मिन	00	00	00
	13 मिन	00	03	51		7 मिन	00	06	07
	17 मिन	00	05	31		8 मिन	00	01	52
	18 मिन	00	10	88		14 मिन	00	00	25
	24/2 मिन	00	08	85		15 मिन	00	11	63
	25 मिन	00	06	58		33/11 मिन	00	03	04
	39/21 मिन	00	02	28		19 मिन	00	05	06
	40/1 मिन	00	00	76		20 मिन	00	11	38
	9 मिन	00	10	88		22 मिन	00	09	87
	10 मिन	00	08	85		23 मिन	00	07	08
	12 मिन	00	03	04		41/11/1 मिन	00	05	06
	13 मिन	00	12	65		11/2 मिन	00	03	79
	14/1 मिन	00	00	25		12 मिन	00	00	25
	16 मिन	00	00	76		18 मिन	00	00	76
	17 मिन	00	14	17		19 मिन	00	13	66
	18 मिन	00	01	77		20/1 मिन	00	00	51
	24 मिन	00	00	25		20/3 मिन	00	01	01
	25 मिन	00	13	66		22 मिन	00	00	25
	41/5/1 मिन	00	04	05		23/1 मिन	00	05	06
	5/2 मिन	00	00	25		42/3 मिन	00	07	08
	53/5 मिन	00	00	00		4 मिन	00	09	36
	54/1 मिन	00	12	14		6 मिन	00	11	38
	2 मिन	00	04	30		7/1 मिन	00	01	01
	3 मिन	00	06	58		7/2 मिन	00	04	81
	9 मिन	00	09	87		15 मिन	00	03	04
	13 मिन	00	07	84		127 मिन	00	04	05
	14 मिन	00	08	60		132 मिन	00	05	31
	16 मिन	00	06	32		141 मिन	00	01	01
	17 मिन	00	05	82		144 मिन	00	02	53
	95 मिन	00	23	52		284 मिन	00	02	02
	98 मिन	00	02	28		285 मिन	00	01	01
	100 मिन	00	14	67		289 मिन	00	01	01
	101 मिन	00	11	38					
	103 मिन	00	02	02					
	109 मिन	00	07	08					
	127 मिन	00	00	25	घोसनावाल ह० न० 31	9/25 मिन	00	01	26
	128 मिन	00	00	51		10/21 मिन	00	00	00
	131 मिन	00	01	77		16/21 मिन	00	07	34
	132 मिन	00	05	31		17/1 मिन	00	11	66
	133 मिन	00	04	05		2 मिन	00	00	76
	134 मिन	00	00	00		8 मिन	00	02	03
	189 मिन	00	01	26		9 मिन	00	13	66
	207 मिन	00	00	76		10 मिन	00	00	76
						12 मिन	00	00	00
मोता	28/20 मिन	00	07	84		13 मिन	00	12	40
ह० न० 26	21 मिन	00	04	30					

1	2	3	4	5
खोलनवाला ह० न० 31	14 मिन	00	03	54
	16 मिन	00	05	57
	17 मिन	00	10	88
	25 मिन	00	08	85
	18/5 मिन	00	02	02
	20/1/1 मिन	00	01	26
	1/3 मिन	00	03	29
	2 मिन	00	09	11
	8 मिन	00	10	63
	9 मिन	00	05	06
	12 मिन	00	03	04
	14 मिन	00	12	14
	15 मिन	00	00	00
	16/1 मिन	00	02	02
	16/2 मिन	00	11	13
	17 मिन	00	02	02
	25 मिन	00	00	70
	21/20 मिन	00	00	76
	21 मिन	00	13	41
	22/1 मिन	00	00	00
	22/2 मिन	00	01	01
	22/1 मिन	00	00	25
	2 मिन	00	12	40
	3 मिन	00	03	04
	8 मिन	00	04	31
	143 मिन	00	02	28
	222 मिन	00	01	01
	223 मिन	00	00	76
काभेवाला ह० न० 32	11/9 मिन	00	07	34
	12 मिन	00	07	34
	13 मिन	00	08	85
	17 मिन	00	10	63
	18 मिन	00	05	82
	24 मिन	00	03	54
	25 मिन	00	10	37
मालेमपुर ह० न० 33	8/24/2 मिन	00	06	83
	25 मिन	00	03	04
	9/6 मिन	00	02	02
	11/5 मिन	00	11	38
	12/1 मिन	00	04	55
	10/1 मिन	00	01	77
	10/2 मिन	00	0	07
	15 मिन	00	01	52
	54 मिन	00	00	76

[सं० 12020/8/81-प्रौ०]

टी० एन० परमेशवरन्, अवर सचिव

**MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM, CHEMICALS AND
FERTILIZER**

(Department of Petroleum)

New Delhi, the 30th September, 1981

S.O. 2923.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the

transport of petroleum products from Mathura in Uttar Pradesh to Jullundur in Punjab pipelines should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited.

And whereas it appears that for the purpose of laying such pipelines, it is necessary to acquire the Right of User in the land described in the schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-Section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declare its intention to acquire the right of user therein :

Provided that any person interested in the said land may, within 21 days from the date of this notification, object to the laying of the pipelines under the land to the Competent Authority, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Mathura-Jullundur Pipeline 705 Mota Singh Nagar, Jullundur (Pb.).

And every person making such an objection shall also state specifically whether he wishes to be heard in person or by a practitioner.

SCHEDULE				
Tehsil : Ludhiana	District : Ludhiana	State : Punjab		
Name of village	Khasra No.	Area		
		H.	A.	Sq. M.
1	2	3	4	5
Harya H. No. 206	2,1 Min	00	09	61
	2 Min	00	06	32
	9/2 Min	00	08	35
	8/1 Min	00	03	04
	8/2 Min	00	04	81
	13/1 Min	00	02	02
	13/2 Min	00	05	31
	14 Min	00	07	34
	57 Min	00	00	76
	58 Min	00	00	76
Bhaman Kalan H. No. 205	27/6 Min	00	11	89
	15 Min	00	00	00
	28/10 Min	00	02	28
	11 Min	00	12	40
	12 Min	00	04	05
	18 Min	00	05	06
	19 Min	00	10	12
	23 Min	00	07	84
	24 Min	00	08	35
	34/9 Min	00	00	00
	10 Min	00	10	37
	11 Min	00	02	28
	12 Min	00	13	41
	34/13 Min	00	00	51
	17 Min	00	01	52
	18 Min	00	13	66
	19 Min	00	01	01
	23 Min	00	00	25
	24 Min	00	12	90
	25 Min	00	02	53
	35/4 Min	00	06	07
	5 Min	00	10	37
	6 Min	00	04	05
	39/5 Min	00	11	89
	40/1 Min	00	04	05
	9 Min	00	06	07
	10 Min	00	10	12
	12 Min	00	08	35
	13 Min	00	09	87

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Bhaman Kalan H. No. 205	17 Min	00	08	35	Gehlewal H. No. 14	6 Min	00	05	31
	18 Min	00	00	25		7 Min	00	11	38
	24 Min	00	04	81		15 Min	00	08	60
	25 Min	00	11	89		28/3 Min	00	02	28
	45/21 Min	00	04	30		4 Min	00	14	67
	46/1 Min	00	12	90		7 Min	00	02	53
	2 Min	00	00	25		75 Min	00	01	77
	8 Min	00	00	76		98 Min	00	02	77
	9/1 Min	00	06	58		131 Min	00	01	77
	9/2 Min	00	06	58		3/21 Min	00	01	77
	10 Min	00	01	52		4/13 Min	00	10	37
	12 Min	00	00	76		14 Min	00	00	25
	13 Min	00	13	66		16 Min	00	00	76
	16/1 Min	00	01	01		17 Min	00	13	66
	16/2 Min	00	04	05		4/18 Min	00	01	52
	17 Min	00	11	89		24 Min	00	00	76
	18 Min	00	00	25		25 Min	00	14	67
	46/25/1 Min	00	00	25		7/5 Min	00	00	25
	25/2 Min	00	06	83		8/1 Min	00	09	11
	25/3 Min	00	03	79		2 Min	00	06	07
	47/5 Min	00	02	28		8 Min	00	06	07
	58/1 Min	00	00	00		9/1 Min	00	07	08
	117 Min	00	04	81		9/2 Min	00	04	55
	123 Min	00	01	52		13/1 Min	00	01	01
	128 Min	00	02	28		13/2 Min	00	06	58
	252 Min	00	01	01		14 Min	00	09	87
	256 Min	00	00	76		16/1 Min	00	09	36
Bhaman Khurd H. No. 204	17/20 Min	00	09	36		16/2 Min	00	04	81
	21 Min	00	05	57		17/1 Min	00	03	29
	22 Min	00	10	37		25/1 Min	00	00	51
	18/3 Min	00	03	54		27 Min	00	00	76
	7/1 Min	00	01	01		9/20 Min	00	01	52
	7/2 Min	00	06	58		21/1 Min	00	07	59
	8 Min	00	08	10		21/2 Min	00	04	05
	14/1 Min	00	00	51		22/1 Min	00	04	81
	14/2 Min	00	06	58		10/2 Min	00	06	83
	15 Min	00	08	35		3 Min	00	01	26
	16/1 Min	00	06	07		27 Min	00	01	01
	16/2 Min	00	00	51		28 Min	00	02	02
	20/2 Min	00	04	30		29 Min	00	02	78
	3/1 Min	00	05	82		32 Min	00	02	28
	3/2 Min	00	05	31	Boothgarh H. No. 13	19/6 Min	00	04	05
	7/1 Min	00	06	32		20/10 Min	00	05	31
	7/2 Min	00	05	57		11 Min	00	09	11
	8/1 Min	00	03	54		12/1 Min	00	06	58
	14 Min	00	03	04		12/2 Min	00	00	25
	15 Min	00	11	89		18 Min	00	09	36
	16 Min	00	01	01		19 Min	00	05	57
	21/11 Min	00	00	00		23 Min	00	05	06
	19 Min	00	00	51		24/1 Min	00	05	06
	20/1 Min	00	03	79		24/2 Min	00	05	82
	20/2 Min	00	09	61		26/1 Min	00	00	25
	21 Min	00	00	25		9/2 Min	00	00	76
	22 Min	00	12	90		10/1 Min	00	03	04
	23 Min	00	01	52		10/2 Min	00	11	13
	23/11 Min	00	07	34		11/1 Min	00	00	25
	19 Min	00	09	87		12/1 Min	00	00	76
	20 Min	00	06	58		12/2 Min	00	12	65
	22 Min	00	04	30		13 Min	00	03	04
	23 Min	00	12	40		17/1 Min	00	01	26
	24 Min	00	00	00		17/2 Min	00	03	54
	24/2 Min	00	00	25		18 Min	00	11	38
	3 Min	00	12	90		24 Min	00	09	36
	4 Min	00	03	54		25 Min	00	07	08

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Boothgarh H. No. 13	27/4 Min	00	03	29		107 Min	00	12	40
—contd.	5/1 Min	00	06	58		108 Min	00	03	54
	5/2 Min	00	06	32		247 Min	00	01	01
	6 Min	00	01	52					
	31/5 Min	00	07	08	Mian H. N. 18	11/123 Min	00	05	31
	32/1/1 Min	00	02	28		24/2 Min	00	00	25
	1/2 Min	00	07	59		24/3 Min	00	03	29
	9 Min	00	09	61		25/10/2 Min	00	04	55
	10 Min	00	04	81		10/3 Min	00	02	78
	37 Min	00	07	84		10/4 Min	00	00	76
	149 Min	00	01	26		11 Min	00	06	83
	150 Min	00	00	76		12/1 Min	00	08	85
	156 Min	00	00	76		12/2 Min	00	00	25
						18 Min	00	00	51
Balihwal H. No. 17	26/16 Min	00	04	05		19/1 Min	00	02	78
	25 Min	00	03	29		19/2 Min	00	01	01
	27/21 Min	00	13	41		26/4/1 Min	00	06	58
	22 Min	00	00	25		4/3 Min	00	04	30
	36/20 Min	00	07	34		5 Min	00	05	57
	21 Min	00	06	83		6 Min	00	08	35
	22 Min	00	04	30		32/12 Min	00	05	06
	37/1 Min	00	01	52		13 Min	00	03	54
	2 Min	00	13	66		17 Min	00	05	31
	3 Min	00	01	26		18 Min	00	10	88
	7 Min	00	03	04		24/2 Min	00	08	85
	8 Min	00	12	90		25 Min	00	06	58
	9 Min	00	00	25		39/21 Min	00	02	28
	14 Min	00	11	38		40/1 Min	00	00	76
	15 Min	00	05	31		9 Min	00	10	88
	16 Min	00	09	11		10 Min	00	08	85
	41/2 Min	00	00	00		12 Min	00	03	04
	6 Min	00	00	51		13 Min	00	12	65
	7 Min	00	07	59		14/1 Min	00	00	25
	14 Min	00	01	01		16 Min	00	00	76
	41/15 Min	00	13	66		17 Min	00	14	17
	16 Min	00	00	25		18 Min	00	01	77
	26 Min	00	05	06		24 Min	00	00	25
	42/11 Min	00	01	77		25 Min	00	13	66
	19 Min	00	01	52		41/5/1 Min	00	04	05
	20 Min	00	12	90		5/2 Min	00	00	25
	22 Min	00	10	88		53/5 Min	00	00	00
	23/1 Min	00	04	05		54/1 Min	00	12	14
	48/11 Min	00	10	88		2 Min	00	04	30
	18/2 Min	00	00	25		8 Min	00	06	58
	19/1 Min	00	01	01		9 Min	00	09	87
	19/2 Min	00	11	64		13 Min	00	07	84
	20 Min	00	03	04		14 Min	00	08	60
	22/1 Min	00	01	52		16 Min	00	06	32
	23/1 Min	00	03	29		17 Min	00	05	82
	23/2 Min	00	10	88		95 Min	00	23	52
	24 Min	00	01	52		98 Min	00	02	28
	49/3/1 Min	00	01	52		100 Min	00	14	67
	3/2 Min	00	08	10		101 Min	00	11	38
	4 Min	00	06	83		103 Min	00	02	02
	6 Min	00	07	84		109 Min	00	07	08
	7 Min	00	07	34		127 Min	00	00	25
	15 Min	00	05	57		128 Min	00	00	51
	26 Min	00	01	52		131 Min	00	01	77
	57/3 Min	00	00	25		132 Min	00	05	31
	4 Min	00	12	65		133 Min	00	04	05
	5 Min	00	03	29		134 Min	00	00	00
	6 Min	00	07	34		189 Min	00	01	26
	91 Min	00	01	52		207 Min	00	00	76
	94 Min	00	02	28	Chaunta H. No. 26	28/20 Min	00	07	84
	95 Min	00	02	28		21 Min	00	04	30
	101 Min	00	04	05		22 Min	00	11	13

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Chaunta---contd.	29/7/1 Min	00	01	77	Dholanwal H. No. 31	16/2 Min	00	11	13
	7/2 Min	00	03	29	---contd.	17 Min	00	02	02
	8 Min	00	07	34		25 Min	00	00	76
	14/1 Min	00	00	25		21/20 Min	00	00	76
	14/2 Min	00	09	11		21 Min	00	13	41
	15 Min	00	06	58		22/1 Min	00	00	00
	16 Min	00	06	58		22/2 Min	00	01	01
	32/2 Min	00	03	04		22/1 Min	00	00	25
	3 Min	00	13	41		2 Min	00	12	40
	4 Min	00	02	53		3 Min	00	03	04
	6 Min	00	00	00		8 Min	00	04	81
	7 Min	00	06	07		143 Min	00	02	28
	8 Min	00	01	52		222 Min	00	01	01
	14 Min	00	00	25		223 Min	00	00	76
	15 Min	00	11	63	Kalewal H. No. 32	11/9 Min	00	07	34
	33/11 Min	00	03	04		12 Min	00	07	34
	19 Min	00	05	06		13 Min	00	08	85
	20 Min	00	11	38		17 Min	00	10	63
	22 Min	00	09	87		18 Min	00	05	82
	23 Min	00	07	08		24 Min	00	03	54
	41/11/1 Min	00	05	06		25 Min	00	10	37
	11/2 Min	00	03	79	Salempur H. No. 33	8/24/2 Min	00	06	83
	12 Min	00	00	25		25 Min	00	03	04
	18 Min	00	00	76		9/6 Min	00	02	02
	19 Min	00	13	66		11/5 Min	00	11	38
	20/1 Min	00	00	51		12/1 Min	00	04	55
	20/3 Min	00	01	01		10/1 Min	00	01	77
	22 Min	00	00	25		10/2 Min	00	06	07
	23/1 Min	00	05	06		45 Min	00	01	52
	42/3 Min	00	07	08		54 Min	00	00	76
	4 Min	00	09	36					
	6 Min	00	11	38					
	7/1 Min	00	01	01					
	7/2 Min	00	04	81					
	15 Min	00	03	04					
	127 Min	00	04	05					
	132 Min	00	05	31					
	141 Min	00	01	01					
	144 Min	00	02	53					
	284 Min	00	02	02					
	285 Min	00	01	01					
	289 Min	00	01	01					
Dholanwal H. No. 31	9/25 Min	00	01	26					
	10/21 Min	00	00	00					
	16/21 Min	00	07	34					
	17/1 Min	00	13	66					
	2 Min	00	00	76					
	8 Min	00	02	02					
	9 Min	00	13	66					
	10 Min	00	00	76					
	12 Min	00	00	00					
	13 Min	00	12	40					
	14 Min	00	03	54					
	16 Min	00	05	57					
	17 Min	00	10	88					
	25 Min	00	08	85					
	18/5 Min	00	02	02					
	20/1/1 Min	00	01	26					
	1/3 Min	00	03	29					
	2 Min	00	09	11					
	8 Min	00	10	63					
	9 Min	00	05	06					
	13 Min	00	03	04					
	14 Min	00	12	14					
	15 Min	00	00	00					
	16/1 Min	00	02	02					

[No 12020/8/81-Prod.]

T. N. PARAMESWARAN, Under Secy.

अस मंत्रालय

भाषा

नई दिल्ली, 31 अगस्त, 1981

का० आ० 2924—केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपायध्व अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट विषय के बारे में भारत सरकार, उत्पादन केन्द्र, इलुमानूर के प्रबंध मंडल से सम्बन्ध एक औद्योगिक विवाद नियोजकों और उसके कर्मकारों के बीच विद्यमान है ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करना बांछनीय समझती है ;

अतः, केन्द्रीय सरकार, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7-क और धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ब) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, एक औद्योगिक अधिकरण गठित करती है जिसके पीठासीन अधिकारी श्री टी० सुधरसनम डेनियल होंगे, जिसका मुख्यालय मद्रास में होगा और उक्त विवाद को उक्त अधि-करण को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है ।

अनुसूची

“क्या निवेशक, भारत सरकार, उत्पादन केन्द्र, इलुमानूर की औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 25-ज के उल्लेखन में श्री बी० गंगाधरन को पुनः रोजगार न देने और फरवरी, 1980 से श्री गोपीनाथन हेतियार को सहायक के रूप में नियुक्त करने की कार्यवाही न्यायोचित की ? यदि नहीं, तो कर्मकार किस अनुसूच का हकदार है ?

[सं० एच०-42012/15/81-डी० II (बी)]

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

ORDER

New Delhi, the 31st August, 1981

S.O. 2924.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Government of India, Production Centre, Ettumanoor and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication,

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A, and clause (d) of sub-section 10, of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri T. Sudarsanam Daniel shall be the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Madras and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the Director, Government of India, Production Centre, Ettumanoor was justified in not offering reemployment to Shri V. Gangadharan and in appointing Shri Gopinathan Chettian as Helper with effect from February, 1980, in contravention of Section 25-H of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

[No. L-42012/15/81-D.II.B]

आवेग

नई दिल्ली, 11 सितम्बर, 1981

का० आ० 2925.—इससे उपाखण्ड अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट विवाद श्री एम० डी० चौधरी, पीठासीन अधिकारी, औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जयपुर के समक्ष लंबित है ;

और श्री एम० डी० चौधरी की सेवाएं उपलब्ध नहीं हैं ;

अतः, केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 33ख की उपधारा (1) के साथ पठित धारा 7क द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, एक औद्योगिक अधिकरण गठित करती है जिसके पीठासीन अधिकारी श्री रामराज लाल गुप्ता होंगे, जिनका मुख्यालय जयपुर में होगा और उक्त श्री एम० डी० चौधरी, पीठासीन अधिकारी, औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जयपुर के समक्ष लंबित उक्त विवाद से संबंध कार्यवाही को वापस लेती है और उसे श्री रामराज लाल गुप्ता, पीठासीन अधिकारी, औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जयपुर को इस निदेश के साथ स्थानांतरित करती है कि उक्त अधिकरण उस पर आगे कार्यवाही उस प्रक्रम से करेगा, जिस पर वह उसे स्थानांतरित की जाती है तथा विधि के अनुसार उसका निपटान करेगा।

अनुसूची

क्रमांक आदेश सं० और तारीख	विषय
1. एल०-40012(7) 79-डी०-II-बी० तारीख 21 मई, 1981	डाक और तार विभाग के नेमिस्तिक कर्मकार श्री मांगी लाल बलराम के नियोजन की अभिकथित अवैध समप्ति।

[सं० एल०-40012(7)-79-डी०-II-बी०]

एस० एस० भल्ला, उक्त अधिकारी

ORDER

New Delhi, the 11th September 1981

S.O. 2925.—Whereas the Industrial dispute specified in the Schedule hereto annexed is pending before Shri M. D. Choudhary, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Jaipur.

And whereas the services of Shri M. D. Choudhary are not available;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A read with Sub-Section (1) of the section 33B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal, the Presiding Officer of which shall be Shri Ramraj Lal Gupta with headquarters at Jaipur and withdraws the proceedings in relation to the said dispute pending before the said Shri M. D. Choudhary, Presiding Officer; Industrial Tribunal, Jaipur and transfers the same to Shri Ramraj Lal Gupta, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Jaipur with the direction that the said Tribunal shall proceed with the proceedings from the stage at which this is transferred to it and dispose of the same according to law.

SCHEDULE

Sr. Number & date of the order No.	Subject
1. L-40012(7)/79-D-II. B. dated the 21st May, 81	Alleged illegal termination from employment—Shri Mangi Lal Balram, Casual workman—P&T department.

[No. L-40012(7)/79-D. II. B]
S. S. BHALLA, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 अक्टूबर, 1981

का० आ० 2926.—केन्द्रीय सरकार ने यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि लोकहित में ऐसा करना अपेक्षित था, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खंड (ब) के उपखंड (6) के उपखंडों के अनुसरण में भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का० आ० 1336 तारीख 9 अप्रैल, 1981 द्वारा यूरैनियम उद्योग में सेवाओं को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए 20 अप्रैल, 1981 से छः मास की कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित किया था ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि लोकहित में उक्त कालावधि को छः मास की और कालावधि के लिए बढ़ाया जाना अपेक्षित है,

अतः, अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खंड (ब) के उपखंड (6) के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त उद्योग को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए 20 अक्टूबर, 1981 से छः मास की और कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित करती है।

[संख्या एल-11017/7/81-डी० I ए०]

एल० के० नारायणन, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 6th October, 1981

S.O. 2926.—Whereas the Central Government having been satisfied that the public interest so required had, in pursuance of the provision of sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), declared by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.O. 1336 dated the 9th April, 1981, Service in the Uranium industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act, for a period of six months, from the 20th April, 1981;

And whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that public interest requires the extension of the said period by a further period of six months;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central

Government hereby declares the said industry to be a public utility service for the purpose of the said Act, for a further period of six months from the 20th October, 1981

[No. S. 11017/7/81-D.I.A.]

L. K. NARAYANAN, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 6 अक्टूबर, 1981

कां०आ० 2927.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैनस कामा सांघवी एण्ड कम्पनी, 107, रीगल इन्डस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, आचार्य डोण्डे मार्ग, सेवरी (पश्चिम) मुम्बई-15, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[सं० एस०-35018/78/80-पी०एफ० II]

New Delhi, the 6th October, 1981

S.O. 2927.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Camu Sanghvi and Company, 107 Regal Industrial Estate, Acharya Done Marg, Sewree (West), Bombay-15, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment ;

[No. S-35018(78)/80-PF. II]

कां०आ० 2928.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैनस प्रजेन्ट ट्रेडर्स मार्टर्स डायस रोड, मार्गाओ-गोवा-403601 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[सं० एस०-35018/9/81-पी०एफ० II]

S.O. 2928.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Ajit Traders, Maritres Dias Road, Margao-Goa-403601, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35018(9)/81-PF. II]

कां०आ० 2929.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैनस कुशाईगुडा फाउन्ड्री, प्लॉट सं० बी०-46 कुशाईगुडा, इलेक्ट्रॉनिक्स कम्प्लेक्स, हैदराबाद-762 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[सं० एस०-35019/9/81-पी०एफ०-2]

S.O. 2929.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Kusaiguda Foundry, Plot No. B. 46, Kusaiguda, Electronic Complex, Hyderabad-762, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35019/9/81-PF.II]

कां०आ० 2930.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैनस केटी कार्पोरेशन, इण्डिया हाउस, दूसरा प्लोर, जनरल पोस्ट ऑफिस, मुम्बई-1, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और प्रकीर्ण उपबंध अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उक्त अधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू करती है।

[सं० एस०-35018/109/79-पी०एफ० II]

आर० के० दास, अधर सचिव

S.O. 2930.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Kaytee Corporation, India House, 2nd Floor, Opposite General Post Office, Bombay-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

[No. S-35018(15)/81-PF. II]

R. K. DAS, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the-13th October, 1981

S.O. 2931.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of American Express International Banking Corporation, New Delhi and their workman which was received by the Central Government on the 1st October, 1981.

BEFORE SHRI MAHESH CHANDRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NEW DELHI

I.D. No. 29 of 1979

In re :

The General Secretary, American Express Employees' Union, Hamilton House, Connaught Place, New Delhi
.. Petitioner

Versus

The General Secretary, American Express International Banking Corporation, Hamilton House, Block-A, Connaught Place New Delhi. .. Respondent

AWARD

The Central Government as appropriate Government vide its order No. L-12012/8/79-D.I.A dated the 26th May, 1979 referred an Industrial Dispute u/s 10 of the I.D. Act to this Tribunal in the following terms :

'Whether the action of the American Express International Banking Corporation in terminating the services of Shri B. Ravichandran w.e.f. 31-10-75 is legal and justified ? If not, to what relief he is entitled ?'

2. On receipt of the reference usual notices were sent to the parties, whereupon parties appeared and a statement of claim was filed. Thereafter a written statement was filed and finally a replication was filed. Upon the pleadings of the parties following issues were framed for trial :

ISSUES :

As in the order of reference.

The case was then adjourned for evidence. On 20-8-80 the representative of the workman came forward with the following statement :

Statement of Shri P. Gaur on S.A.

'I do not propose to lead any oral evidence. The documents produced may be read into evidence and arguments be heard.'

3. Thereafter the case was adjourned for evidence of the Bank and the Bank filed only one affidavit. Thereafter ex-parte proceedings were ordered against the Bank but the ex-parte was set aside subject to payment of Rs. 50 as costs and after the costs were paid the witness was examined for cross examination and the case was adjourned for arguments. I have heard Shri S. Sundram and Shri P. Gaur for the workman side and Shri V. N. Kamra for the Management side and after giving my considered thought to the matter before me I have come to the following findings upon the issue above.

4. The contention of the workman is that he was employed with the respondent from November, 1974 to 31st October, 1975 with little breaks and had put in all 275 days of service within 12 months prior to termination of his services and that his services were terminated w.e.f. 31st October, 1975 in violation of para 20.8 of Bipartite Settlement and Section 25-F of I.D. Act and as such the termination of his services was illegal.

5. The contention of the Management on the other hand is that provisions of Section 25-F were not attracted by the case of the workman and as such he was not entitled to any relief what-so-ever. It is however, not denied that the workman was engaged between November, 1974 to 31st October, 1975 on different dates. It is further specifically stated in para 4 of the written statement that this workman had actually worked for only 220 days between November 4, 1974 to 31st October, 1975 and as such was not entitled to the benefit of Section 25-F of I.D. Act.

6. I have already observed that the workman side has not led any oral evidence. Some documents have been filed by the workman vide his list of documents dated the 19th October, 1979 and these would be referred if necessary at appropriate stage. As against this the Management has filed 20 documents vide its list of documents dated the 5th December, 1975. I would now refer to the affidavit filed by the Management in support of its contention. The said

affidavit is M.W. 1/1 and is of M.W. 1, Shri K. L. Manchanda who has in his affidavit stated that he was an Officer of the respondent—Corporation since 1962 and was conversant with the facts of this case and that Shri B. Ravichandran was employed as a temporary typist/clerk in New Delhi Branch of the respondent for various periods with breaks between November 4, 1974 and October 31, 1975. It is further stated in his affidavit that Shri B. Ravichandran's first appointment was from November 4, 1974 to November 30, 1974, next was from December 2, 1974 to December 31, 1974, the next was January 1, 1975 to January 31, 1975, the next was February 3, 1975 to February 28, 1975, the next was March 1, 1975 to March 31, 1975, the next was April 21, 1975 to May 17, 1975 and then May 19, 1975 to June 14, 1975 and then August 18, 1975 to September 5, 1975 and then from September 6, 1975 to September 30, 1975 and the last appointment was from October 1, 1975 to October 31, 1975. It is categorically stated in para 3 of the affidavit that Shri B. Ravichandran did not work for 46 days during the above mentioned period of his appointment and the details thereof have been given therein. It is also similarly stated that the above mentioned 46 days were holidays and Shri B. Ravichandran was neither laid off nor on leave with full wages during this period nor was his absence due to temporary dis-ablement caused by injury arising out of or in the course of his employment. Lastly it is stated in para 5 of the said affidavit that Shri B. Ravichandran actually worked with the respondent Bank for only 228 days. This affidavit of Shri K. L. Manchanda stands un-rebutted and there is nothing on record to even remotely suggest that the position as stated by Shri K. L. Manchanda was not correct position. Even otherwise from the perusal of the documents filed by the Management which are at serial No. 1 to 20 of list of documents dated December 5, it would be found that the statement is corroborated by these documents. At sl. no. 15 the Management has filed a calendar for the year 1974 and 1975 and at sl. no. 16 and 17 have been filed the list of holidays declared by Delhi Administration on September 21, 1974 and list of public holidays in Union Territory of Delhi for the year 1974. These two lists certainly go to corroborate the statement on affidavit of Shri K. L. Manchanda regarding the holiday. This therefore goes to establish conclusively that Shri B. Ravichandran, workman had worked with the respondent—Bank only for 228 days and that being the position it follows that he had not put in the requisite 240 days so as to attract the provisions of Section 25-F of I. D. Act, 1947. If the provisions of Section 25-F are not attracted it would follow that the workman is not entitled to any relief in this reference since it cannot be established that the provisions of Section 25-F have been violated by the Management in the case of this workman. Reliance in this behalf has been placed by the Management upon 1979-Lab. I.C. N.O.C. 136 and I.L.R. (175) 2-Mad. 264, A. Parthasarathi and another Vs. The Management of Standard Motor Product of India Ltd. in which it was held that 'the words actually worked would not include even holidays much less Saturdays and Sundays for which full wages are paid. The words days worked itself would normally mean days actually worked. The legislature as if to give emphasis has also added the words actually. There could therefore be no scope for arguments that paid holidays are to be included in actually worked. The explanation to Section 25-B has included in this actually worked, days certain deemed actually working days. Only these days which are provided in the explanation could be included in calculating 240 days in addition to the actual working days. The meaning of words actually worked cannot be enlarged beyond what is contained in the explanation.' In the face of the principle of law laid down in the above ruling it cannot be said that the workman has put in requisite 240 days during a year so as to be deemed to put in a continuous service for one year within the meaning of Section 25-B of I.D. Act and consequently it would follow that Section 25-F is not attracted by his case and therefore there was no question of complying therewith by the Management. My attention has further been invited to 1980 Lab. I.C.—1052 Digvijaya Woollen Mills case and 1981—Lab. I.C. 307, Lalappa's case which also indicate in the same direction. Although the later mentioned of two rulings are under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 but on principle these would cover the present case as well. However the first mentioned ruling is under the provisions of Section 25-B and 25-F of the I.D. Act and consequently would cover this case as well. In view of my discussion

above, I hold that the Management has not violated any term of Section 25-F which is not even attracted by the case of the workman.

7. Regarding the violation of para 20.8 of the Bipartite Settlement Id. representative of the workman has not been able to show me as to how the provisions thereof have been violated by the Management. From the perusal of provisions of the said para I do not find that the Management has violated any of the provisions thereof. In view thereof it would follow that the action of the Management of American Express International Banking Corporation in terminating the services of Shri B. Ravichandran w.e.f. 31-10-75 is legal and justified and the workman is not entitled to any relief what-so-ever. The Management would be entitled to its costs of this litigation which are assessed at Rs. 250. Further ordered :

That requisite number of copies of this award may be sent to the appropriate Government for necessary action at their end.

Dated : the 25th August, 1981,

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer
[No. L-12012/8/79-D.II.A]

New Delhi, the 14th October, 1981

S.O. 2932.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of Bikaner & Jaipur and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 1st October, 1981.

BEFORE SHRI MAHESH CHANDRA, PRESIDING
OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL-CUM LABOUR COURT, NEW DELHI

I.D. No. 19 of 1978

In re :

Sri Vinod Kumar Jain C/o Shri Tara Chand Gupta,
Asstt. Secretary, Indian National Bank Employees'
Congress, 91, Sarai Nazar Ali Ghaziabad.

..Petitioner

VERSUS

The Regional Manager(A), State Bank of Bikaner &
Jaipur, S.M.S. Highway, Jaipur-5 ...Respondent.

AWARD

The Central Government as appropriate Government vide its order No. L-12012/57/77-D. II.A dated the 13/17th February, 1978 referred an Industrial Dispute u/s 10 of the I.D. Act to this Tribunal in the following terms :

'Whether Shri Vinod Kumar Jain an ex clerk-cum-cashier of the Devi Nagar Suraj Kund, Meerut Branch of the State Bank of Bikaner & Jaipur, SMS Highway, Jaipur is entitled for permanent absorption in Bank's employment? If so, from what date?'

2. On receipt of the reference usual notices were sent to the parties. Thereafter a statement of claim was filed on 17-4-78. A written statement was filed on 21-9-78 and finally a replication was filed on 30-1-79 and the case was adjourned for filing of documents. In the meanwhile an application for amendment of written statement was filed by the Management which application was allowed to subject to payment of conditional costs and in consequence an amended written statement was filed to which a replication was filed on 19-9-79 by the workman. Upon the pleadings of the parties following issues were framed and the case was adjourned for evidence :

ISSUES :

1. Whether the workman was gainfully employed during the period his services remained terminated. If so its effect ?

2. Whether the Tribunal has no jurisdiction ?

3. As in the order of reference ?

4. Relief ?

3. The evidence of workman consists of his statement as W.W. 1 apart from certain documents while the evidence of Bank consists of M.W. 1, Shri T.N.V. Raman and M.W. 2 Shri B. N. Arora apart from filing certain documents. I have gone through the evidence produced by the parties and have heard their representatives and after giving my considered thought to the matter before me I have come to the following findings upon these issues :

4. Issue No. 3 :

I will first take up issue No. 3. From the perusal of statement of claim filed by the workman I find that his contention is that he was engaged as Godown Keeper-cum-clerk on 19-2-73 and worked as such till 30-4-73; that on 23-6-73 the workman applied for regular employment in the Bank and in furtherance thereof took the written test held on 22-7-73 and qualified the same and as a consequence he was called for interview held on 17-11-73 and he was selected for regular appointment in the Bank, that pending formalities of regular appointment he was continued to be appointed from time to time in a temporary capacity until 29-5-75 but no further appointment was given to the workman; that ultimately this reference was made and the workman is entitled to be permanently appointed in the service of the Bank. In reply the contention of the Bank is that this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain this reference and on merits it has been urged that this workman had not obtained sufficiently senior position so as to be appointed as a result of written test-cum-interview and as such he was not appointed.

5. In order to prove its contention the workman has come forward himself as W.W. 1 and has reiterated his statement of claim in his affidavit Ex. W/1. He has also tendered certain documents marked Ex. W-1/1 to Ex. W-1/12. During cross examination it is admitted by him that it was not his case that he had completed 240 days of service in 12 calendar months and rather it is admitted by him that he had not completed one year continuous service and consequently it follows that principle of law laid down in N. Sundermany's case by the Hon'ble Supreme Court is not attracted by the case of this workman. What is contended by the workman is that he had taken the test held on 22-7-73 and passed the same and then he was consequently called for interview held on 17-11-73 and qualified the same. But it is admitted by him that he did not know the rank he secured in the interview. As against this statement of the workman there is statement of Shri T.N.V. Raman which is contained in Ex. M.W. 1/1, affidavit. In this affidavit it has been categorically stated by this witness in the merit list vis-a-vis the number of vacancies and his in para 6 of the affidavit that the applicant did not come in the merit list vis-a-vis the number of vacancies and his position was at Sl. No. 7 in the order of merit against the two vacancies at Meerut Centre and as such he could not be offered permanent appointment. In support of this statement of his he has produced Ex. M.W. 1/2, the consolidated result for Meerut Centre. From the perusal of Ex. M.W. 1/2 it is established that total number of vacancies was two, whereas this workman had secured 7th position. There is nothing to belie the correctness of Ex. M.W. 1/2. Keeping in view the number of vacancies it cannot be said that the bank is not justified in not offering an appointment to this workman in consequence of this test, Ex. M.W. 1/3 this list of successful candidates for appointment at Meerut Centre and they are S/Shri Rajinder Singh and Mukesh Chand Bhargava. M.W. 2 is Shri B. N. Arora who has filed his affidavit Ex. M.W. 2/1, which also supports the claim of the Bank. In the face of statement of M.W. 1 and M.W. 2 corroborated as it stands by Ex. M.W. 1/2 and Ex. M.W. 1/3, it is established that this workman had secured seventh position in consequence of the written examination and the interview held by the Bank for recruitment to regular vacancies whereas there were in fact only two vacancies. That being the position it would follow that this workman was not entitled for regular absorption or for permanent appointment with the Bank in consequence of the said test. There is nothing which has been placed upon the record by the workman which belies this position. Mere fact that the number of vacancies have not been mentioned would not in any manner create any right in the workman for getting a regular appointment even

though the number of vacancies were two and the workman had secured only seventh position. From whichever angle I may consider the matter before me I find that this issue must be decided in favour of the Bank and against the workman and accordingly I hold this issue for the Management and against the workman and it is held that Shri Vinod Kumar Jain, Ex-Clerk-cum-Cashier of the Devi Nagar Suraj Kund, Meerut Branch of State Bank of Bikaner & Jaipur, SMS Highway, Jaipur was not entitled to permanent absorption in the Bank's employment on the ground alleged by him and as such he was not entitled to any relief in this para.

5. Issue No. 1 :

In view of my finding in issue No. 3 above, this issue does not arise at all.

6. Issue No. 2 :

In as much as the workman fails on Issue No. 3, this issue need not be decided.

7. Issue No. 4 :

For my discussions and findings above, it is awarded that the workman was not entitled to be absorbed permanently in the service of State Bank of Bikaner & Jaipur on the grounds alleged by him in his statement of claim and further that the workman is not entitled to any relief. The parties are however left to bear their own costs.

Further ordered :

That requisite number of copies of this award may be sent to the appropriate Govt. for necessary action at their end.

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer
[No. L-12012/57/77-D. II.A]

S.O. 2933.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of United Commercial Bank, Calcutta, and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 1st October, 1981.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA

Reference No. 48 of 1978

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of United Commercial Bank, Calcutta

AND

Their Workmen.

APPEARANCES :

On behalf of the Employers—Mr. G. C. Sen, Officer.

On behalf of Workmen—Mr. Santi Ranjan Bal, General Secretary, United Commercial Bank Employees' Association.

STATE : West Bengal

INDUSTRY : Banking

AWARD

This is a Reference under Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, sent to this Tribunal by the Government of India by its Order No. F. No. 12011/66/77-D. II.A dated 3-5-1978 for adjudication of an industrial dispute between the management of the United Commercial Bank, Calcutta, hereinafter referred to as the "Bank" and their

workmen represented by the General Secretary United Commercial Employees' Association, Calcutta, hereinafter referred to as the "Union". The dispute has been mentioned in the Schedule to the Order of reference in the following words :

- (1) Whether the panel of 369 Clerks prepared and announced recently by the management of the United Commercial Bank, Calcutta for promotion to the posts of Officers Grade D is valid in accordance with the provisions of the Bipartite Promotion Policy Agreement dated 31-3-75? If not, to what relief are the eligible employees entitled?
- (2) Whether the action of the management of the United Commercial Bank, Calcutta in denying due weightage for the entire length of service to Shri Tapan Kumar Chakravorty in accordance with the Promotion Policy Agreement dated 31-3-75 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

2. Today was the date fixed for pre-emptory hearing of the case. Mr. G. C. Sen, an Officer of the Bank duly authorised appears on behalf of the Bank and the General Secretary of the Union Mr. Santi Ranjan Bal is representing the workmen. Both the parties now file a joint petition signed by the General Secretary of the Union himself and the Deputy General Manager, Personnel, Administration of the Bank. It is submitted by Mr. Bal and Mr. Sen that the matters in dispute have been amicably settled on the basis of an agreement entered into by the parties on 7th September, 1981. It is further submitted by both the parties that at present there is no dispute and the parties do not want to pursue this reference.

3. In the circumstances, I find that at present there is no dispute between the parties and as such I hereby pass a "no dispute" award.

Dated, Calcutta,

The 25th September, 1981.

R. BHATTACHARYA, Presiding Officer
[No. L-12011/66/77-D.II.A]

S.O. 2934.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi, and the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bank of India, Lucknow and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 1st October, 1981.

BEFORE SHRI MAHESH CHANDRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NEW DELHI

I. D. No. 4 of 1980

In re :

The State President,

U.P. Bank Employees' Congress, 295/387,

Deen Dayal Road, Ashrafabad, Lucknow.

. Petitioner

VERSUS

The Asstt. General Manager,

Bank of India, 1, Kewal Kishore Road,

Lucknow.

. Respondent

AWARD

The Central Government as appropriate Government vide its order No. L-12012/96/79-D.II(A) dated the 18th January 1980 referred an Industrial Dispute u/s 10 of the I.D. Act to this Tribunal in the following terms :

“Whether the action of the management of Bank of India, Lucknow in denying the Teller's post to Shri A. C. Nagar, Clerk at their Dehra Dun Branch is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?”

2. On receipt of the reference usual notices were sent to the parties, whereupon a statement of claim was filed. Thereafter a written statement was filed and case was adjourned for replication. Replication was filed but the respondent absented and as such ex-parte proceedings were ordered against the respondent—Bank and following one issue was framed :

ISSUE :

As in the order of reference.

3. The case was then adjourned for ex-parte evidence but before ex-parte evidence could be recorded the Management side also appeared and the ex-parte order was in consequence set aside and as no further issue arose or was claimed so the case was adjourned for evidence of the workman. But on 24-8-81 Shri O.P. Nigam, the representative of the worker came forward with the following statement :

Statement of Shri O. P. Nigam on S.A.

A no dispute award may be made in this case. I do not want to continue this dispute.

4. In view of the statement recorded above, a no dispute award is hereby made leaving the parties to bear their own costs.

Further ordered :

That requisite number of copies of this award may be sent to the appropriate Government for necessary action at their end.

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer

Dated : the 24th August, 1981

[No. L-12012/96/79-D.II.A]

A. V. S. SARMA, Desk Officer